

## **MINUTES OF A REGULAR MEETING OF THE GILCHRIST COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS HELD MARCH 21, 2005.**

The Gilchrist County Board of County Commissioners, in and for Gilchrist County Florida, convened in a regular meeting on Monday, March 21, 2005 at 5:00 p.m. in the Board of County Commissioners Meeting Room located at 210 South Main Street, Trenton, Florida, with the following members present to-wit:

**Commissioner Mitchell Gentry, Chair**  
**Commissioner Randy Durden, Vice-Chair**  
**Commissioner Sharon Langford**  
**Commissioner Tommy Langford**  
**Commissioner Kenrick Thomas**

**Others in attendance were:** John McPherson, County Attorney, Joseph W. Gilliam, Clerk of Circuit Court, Karen Heaton, Deputy Clerk, Sam Ferguson, Director of Finance, Ron McQueen, Justin Watson, Billy Cannon, Diane Rondolt, John Ayers, Dennis Radice, Gene Parrish, Alan Mikell, Delight Curtis, Ken Stocks, Ron Mills, Edgar Langford, D. Ray Harrison, Sheriff Turner, M. Wheeler, Jon grey, Tony Cruse, Jerry Kerman, Lynette Vermillion, Bill Park, and Mike Bowdoin.

### **Call to Order:**

Chairperson Gentry called the meeting to order at 5:00 p.m. Commissioner Sharon Langford delivered the Invocation and Commissioner Thomas led the Pledge of Allegiance.

### **Agenda Changes:**

1. Discussion on Impact Fees, Time Certain at 7:15 p.m.
2. Budget Entry BE#05-32, General Fund, \$15,330.00
3. Log Landing Boat Ramp
4. Financing for Trucks
5. Computers for Planning & Zoning

**Motion** Commissioner Durden, seconded by Commissioner Tommy Langford, to accept the agenda with changes. Motion carried unanimously.

### **Consent Agenda:**

**Motion** by Commissioner Durden, seconded by Commissioner Tommy Langford, to accept consent agenda with changes noted. Motion carried unanimously.

### **Attorney's Report:**

### **Ordinances and Resolutions:**

Revised Speed Limit on CR 340: Mr. McPherson advised the Board that the adoption hearing is set for this meeting.

Right of Way Rental: Mr. McPherson advised the Board that the Right of Way Rental Workshop is scheduled for this meeting, and that a memo and draft language are attached to his report.

### **Status Reports:**

Florida Rock Use of Right of Way for Gas Piping: Mr. McPherson advised that the Board had approved a Draft Permit with conditions that had been forwarded to Greg Tilden. He stated that Greg Tilden had contacted him regarding questions, and that Florida Rock is possibly considering accepting the draft.

### **Pending Litigation:**

Brooks and Strickland v Aderholts: Mr. McPherson advised the Board that there had been discussion regarding the parties meeting and possibly contributing money to relocating the road.

Bailey code Enforcement Appeal: Mr. McPherson advised the Board that the Appeal is stayed pending county action on the application for amendment to special exception; permit had been applied for asking for minor changes to conditions. He stated that the Planning and Zoning Department had accepted that application.

Gilchrist V. Langfords: Mr. McPherson advised that Board that no final settlement proposal had been received. He stated that the process is trying to move ahead on the fencing, with an April 1 deadline for finishing all work on the right of way. He stated that there was question regarding the type of fencing on the Langford's property, and a proposed meeting was discussed, however, the meeting would not meet the deadline. He suggested that the Board agree to field fencing and to agree to place the fencing along the entire length of the property, and submit that bill, and it would be submitted for the project funding. He stated that if mediation is required, the County could end up paying for attorney fees and fencing, and that he feels it is best for the County to seek a settlement.

### **Road Department:**

Stinger Blades: Mr. Watson requested the Board allow him to purchase two stinger blades for the motor grader and a drag. He advised the Board that the cost of the blades was \$2,164 each, and the drag is \$5,000.

**Motion** by Commissioner Thomas, seconded by Commissioner Durden, to approve the Road Department's request to purchase blades. Motion carried unanimously.

Turn lanes on 340: Mr. Watson advised the Board that he reviewed turn lanes by the Bottling

Plant. He stated that the turn lanes were constructed according to D.O.T. specifications, and if the Bottling Plant desired turn lane changes, the Bottling Plant should have their engineers investigate, and should pay for the changes. Discussion was made regarding contact for the Bottling Plant.

### **Clerk's Report:**

Judicial Complex: Mr. Gilliam advised the Board regarding Request for Qualification Bid, and advised that the County had received eight (8) proposals submitted. He stated that the Building Committee had met and would like to present four (4) proposals to the Board, and he requested to schedule for the April 18 meeting, beginning at 2:00 p.m. Mr. Gilliam advised the Board of the short list recommendation by the Building Committee consisting of the Alexander Group, Perry Construction, Parrish Construction and Gray Construction.

Department of Transportation: Mr. Gilliam advised the Board of the deadline for nominations for the D.O.T. Advisory Committee.

Resolution 05-09: Mr. Gilliam advised the Board of a letter from Putnam County, requesting Support a resolution of Economic Development in the North Central Florida Rural Area of Critical Economic Concern. Chairperson Gentry read the short title of Resolution 05-09.

**Motion** by Commissioner Durden, seconded by Commissioner Sharon Langford, to approve Resolution 05-09. Motion carried unanimously.

D.O.T. Letter: Mr. Gilliam advised the Board of a Letter from D.O.T., moving the time frame for the List of Priority Projects. He stated that the deadline had been moved to July 1, for the period of 2006/2007 through 2010/2011, and that Mr. McQueen would speak on this issue.

Finance Bids for Trucks: Mr. Ferguson advised the Board that bids for the best interest rates had been sought on the Animal Control and the new County Maintenance vehicle, totaling \$49,212 for 36 months. He stated that Tri-County Bank had submitted a bid of 3.69%, and that Capital City Bank had submitted a bid of 3.63%. Mr. Ferguson recommended that the Board proceed with Capital City Bank at 3.63%.

**Motion** by Commissioner Thomas, seconded by Commissioner Durden, to approve the financing with Capital City Bank at 3.63%. Motion carried unanimously.

### **EMA/County Administrator's Report:**

EEO Plan - Resolution 05-10: Mr. McQueen recommended that the Board approve Resolution 05-10, and Chairperson Gentry read aloud the short title. Mr. McPherson recommended that the effective date be changed to "as of September 30, 2000", and that Mike Grogan, Labor Attorney reviews the Resolution.

**Motion** by Commissioner Thomas, seconded by Commissioner Durden, to approve Resolution 05-10, effective as of September 30, 2000, and submitted to Mike Grogan for review. Motion carried unanimously.

SCRAP Program: Mr. McQueen advised the Board of the need for nomination of two new project requests, and that he had provided forms for the Commission. He advised the Board of the July 1 deadline for the nominations. Commissioner Durden requested that Mr. Watson bring a recommendation to the Board to the next meeting. Mr. McQueen stated the SCRAP Program is a five (5) year work plan, and his recommendation to the Board would be to consider requesting to four-lane Hwy 26 as soon as possible and turn lanes. Discussion was made regarding a red light system on Main Street, the build-up of CR 232 that is under water. Mr. Watson advised that Hwy 307 may need to be evaluated due to the Hurricane Evacuation Route, and that Hwy 307 was used as a secondary Route.

Claim Resolution SE 70<sup>th</sup> Avenue: Mr. McQueen advised the Board that a written offer had been presented, and that Craggs Construction represented that they intend to make a counter offer. He stated that a resolution is being attempted. Mr. Gilliam questioned funding if a resolution is reached prior to April 1, and Mr. McQueen advised that for the billing issues, the County had 180 days from the close of the project, and April 1 is the deadline for the physical work.

Dennis Raddice: Mr. McQueen advised the Board of a brief history concerning the Zoning for the County, unrecorded subdivisions, and permitting. He introduced Mr. Raddice for his request from the Board. Mr. McQueen discussed with the County Attorney the requirement of approval by the Board. Mr. McPherson clarified that Board approval for this issue would be based on the County planner's error and Mr. Raddice's argument of equitable stopple and the County Planner's letter for Mr. Raddice's lot alone. Mr. McQueen advised that the lots in this subdivision were nonconforming at the time the subdivision was formed, and that the subdivision does not meet the requirement of an access road. ..there is no road that runs down the center of the lots...Dennis said there is a deeded access. Mr. Raddice stated that there is a deeded access, but that no road was constructed.

**Motion** by Commissioner Tommy Langford, seconded by Commissioner Sharon Langford, to approve permitting based upon the letter from Ben Chumley on County letterhead, and that the approval is not intended to be a generic approval of any other lot in this subdivision. Motion carried unanimously.

Comprehensive Economic Development Strategy Update Survey: Mr. McQueen advised the Board of the existing projects and their locations. He advised of a meeting to be held on Thursday with the School Board that may include an additional project. Mr. McQueen requested recommendations from the Board to be presented at the next Board meeting.

Department of Community Affairs Emergency Management: Mr. McQueen advised the Board of the modification of the Grant Project. He stated that the Federal funding received is in addition to the State money, and that the level of funding cannot be reduced.

**Motion** by Commissioner Tommy Langford, seconded by Commissioner Thomas, to accept the State Grant Award for Emergency Management. Motion carried unanimously.

Three Rivers Regional Library System Meeting Room Policy: Mr. McQueen recommended that the Board contact Three Rivers, and discussion was made regarding a revision. He stated that Steven Herd will attend a Board Meeting and request adoption of a revised policy.

County Planner: Mr. McQueen introduced Mr. Ken Stocks, the new County Planner, and stated that he comes from Gadsden County. He stated that since Ken has been here, we found that we have more capability on the computers. Mr. McQueen advised the Board of the need for two new computers with additional memory. Mr. McQueen requested permission to transfer \$2,943.50 from Professional Services to Machinery and Equipment.

**Motion** by Commissioner Thomas, seconded by Commissioner Tommy Langford, to approve the Building Department's request to transfer \$2,943.50 from Professional Services to Machinery and Equipment. Motion carried unanimously.

Log Landing: Mr. McQueen mentioned that the County still working on the Boating Improvement Fund, and advised of the erosion. He stated that SRWMD is seeking Board approval with the understanding that the project will begin during winter of next year. He provided a plan and pictures for the Board, and indicated the problem.

**Motion** by Commissioner Tommy Langford, seconded by Commissioner Thomas, to approve the SRWMD improvement for Log Landing, and noted that the boat ramp will be closed for 30 days while the project is underway. Motion carried unanimously.

**Public Hearing / PRD Estates (Minor Subdivision):**

Gene Parrish presented the Board with Plat of proposed subdivision, advised that the subdivision meets all criteria required, and requests approval of Final Plat.

**Motion** by Commissioner Durden, seconded by Commissioner Sharon Langford, to approve the Final Plat for PRD Estates. Motion carried unanimously.

**Code Enforcement:**

Ms. Rondolet requests that the Board direction regarding fines ordered during a Code Enforcement Hearing December 26, 2004. She inquired as to the method of compliance to be used with landowner. She stated that she has received a complaint from the land owner's neighbor that he is not complying with the Final Order. Ms. Rondolet referred to a County Ordinance in place that gives the County the option to clean the property and assess the cost against the property owner. She stated that the property is homesteaded, and questioned how the County will collect the ordered penalties. Ms. Rondolet stated that the property owner he has been assessed \$50 per day since December, and that the property owner was given the opportunity (through certified mail) to respond. She stated that no response had been received, and that the property owner is again obtaining additional vehicles and placing them on the property, violating the Final Order and the assessment.

Mr. McPherson stated that if the property owner continues to bring in additional vehicles, the

County will file a notice of repeat violation and additional penalties can be accrued. He stated the problem is that the property is homesteaded, limiting the legal action of recording a lien and foreclosing on the property. Mr. McPherson stated that the County can file a judgment on the property owner, and stated that the \$50 per day assessed cost will accrue with interest.

Mr. McPherson referred to the County Ordinance which allows the county to clean the property up and assess costs to the property owner if the property is found to be a nuisance. He stated that the collection of this cost is the same method being used with the penalties from the Final Order, and stated that because of the homestead, the property was unable to be foreclosed. Mr. McPherson raised the option of the County notifying the property owner, allowing 30 days for compliance, or the County will clean the property and assess the costs. Discussion was made regarding titles to vehicles, and Mr. McPherson stated those issues would have to be addressed individually, and that the County is required to wait three (3) months after the Final Order is recorded before filing the lawsuit against the property owner. Commissioner Durden requested Ms. Rondolet makes contacts and gather estimates for removing the vehicles from the property for the Board to review, and Commissioner Tommy Langford requested that the issue of title is researched also.

#### **Citizen's Request – Mark Redd:**

Mr. Redd advised the Board of the real estate purchase he made, and of a series of events that have taken place. Mr. Redd stated that he purchased 2.02 acres in Holley Springs Unrecorded Subdivision. He stated that prior to his purchase of the property; the previous owner represented that he had divided 2.0 acres from a 3.0 acre lot to build a home. The previous owner stated that he had obtained the septic and well permits from the County. Mr. Redd entered into a contract to purchase the property with the understanding that the lot was a buildable lot. He stated that the Health Department, Weslie Asbell, and the transferred the septic permits. He stated that he questioned Ben Chumley at the Building Department, who stated that the permits would be no problem, and provided a list of requirements. Mr. Redd stated that he received the SRWMD permits, and when he hand delivered these permit to the Building Department, he was informed that the division of the property was not a legal division and that he would be unable to obtain a building permit for the property. He stated that he spoke with Mr. McQueen and was informed that a variance was not possible.

Mr. Redd stated that he works with the State of Florida, Warden with the Department of Corrections, and his intention was to retire in Gilchrist County. He stated that he consulted professionals that he understood would provide the correct information. Mr. McQueen stated that the subdivision is zoned for one dwelling per 10 acres. Mr. McQueen stated that the individuals who Mr. Redd purchased the property from built, and placed a septic, close to the lot boundary, and that there is a 25 foot setback. He stated the County needed a policy directive because the LDR specifies a requirement of a lot, and that it had always been interpreted a full lot, and if the County were to depart from that requirement, a policy needed to be in enforced in every circumstance. Mr. McQueen stated that the lot is a non-conforming lot of record, and that the lots were grandfathered in. He stated that Mr. Redd did not receive written zoning approval from the County.

Mr. McPherson advised the Board that this is a policy decision, and that this is a difficult case. He stated that the lots began as non-conforming lots, and that the lots remain non-conforming lots. Mr. McPherson stated that there is no real strong public policy reason to deny Mr. Redd's request, however, future cases that may possibly create problems with allowing individuals to sell portions of lots, and allowing permits to be obtained on portions of a lot. He stated that a generic rule needed to be in the County Ordinance, creating a procedure for individuals to make requests to the Board. Mr. McPherson stated that his recommendation would be if the Board approves the request; approve it with the reasons previously stated, and direct the county Attorney to work with Planning & Zoning and Mr. McQueen to obtain procedures and established standards.

**Motion**, by Commissioner Sharon Langford, seconded by Commissioner Tommy Langford, to approve Mr. Redd's permit, to allow Mr. Redd to build on identified property, following the guidelines of the County Attorney. Mr. McPherson stated that if another situation like this comes to the attention of Ken Stocks or the Building Department, the issue needs to be researched prior to advising an individual. He stated that if an individual purchases a portion of a lot, the Board needs to direct the County Attorney to draft an Ordinance to clarify required standards. Motion carried unanimously.

**Motion** by Commissioner Durden, seconded by Commissioner Tommy Langford, to direct Mr. McPherson to draft language for an Ordinance. Motion carried unanimously.

### **Workshop / Proposed Amendments for Right of Way Ordinance:**

Mr. McPherson presented proposed amendments to the Board for the Right of Way Ordinance. He stated that the County is not receiving any compensation from private companies, such as gas or electric companies, to use County right of way. Mr. McPherson stated that typically the County or City will grant a franchise, and that the County and Cities would collect a franchise fee. Gilchrist County has never required a fee for the use of County right of way. He stated that the County has the authority and possibly the responsibility for collecting rent on County right of way being used by private companies. Mr. McPherson questioned if the County wanted to start charging new users and existing users, or only new users for the right of way usage. Discussion was made regarding other counties and their procedures, collecting fees as a matter of rent and not granting franchises, and challenges. Chairperson Gentry requested public comments.

Lynette Vermillion, Progress Energy, 4359 Mary Camp Rd, Ocala: Ms. Vermillion advised that Progress Energy's attorney has just received a copy of the proposed changes, and that Progress Energy believed there is a minimal cost to the County for maintenance of the location of the facilities, and that the County benefits because of the maintenance performed to provide adequate services to Progress Energy customers. She stated the fee would increase the cost of Progress Energy's services to residents, and Progress Energy would like the opportunity to comment again at the time a more defined proposal is presented.

Mr. McPherson acknowledged the difficulty in determining a more defined proposal, and stated that the County would need to receive expert testimony to support the proposal. Commissioner Tommy Langford stated that his concern was the cost to the residents.

Discussion was made concerning new users and existing users, and Mr. McPherson stated new users would be new facilities in the right of way. Mr. McPherson stated that he would not recommend case by case reviews, and stated that the County should establish a value for the right of way, and adopt a policy to be applicable to everyone. Discussion was made regarding telecommunication tax, fees collected, and specifications.

Mr. Gilliam voiced concern regarding other Florida Counties not collecting rent on County right of way, and questioned why other Counties haven't. Mr. McPherson stated that other Counties have been charging in the form of franchise fees.

Mr. Gilliam asked Progress Energy if other Counties are charging franchise fees, and Ms. Vermillion stated that Progress Energy have no County franchise agreements, only City franchise agreements.

Bill Park, Service Coordinator, Progress Energy, 729 East Wade Street, Trenton, Florida: Mr. Park stated that 98.5% of their lines are above ground, the lines that have been transitioned underground are at the developer's request inside individual subdivisions. He stated that 75% of their lines are on State Roads and that 25% are on County Roads. Mr. Gilliam questioned if the Board chose to charge a rental fee for County right of way, would Progress Energy distinguish between which residents on State Road right of way and residents on County Road right of way. Ms. Vermillion stated Progress Energy's interest would be the fee itself, and its calculation.

Discussion was made regarding the comparison of Florida Rock and electricity. Mr. McPherson asked Mr. Watson if there is cost associated with the County right of way, and Mr. Watson stated that power lines are maintained by the companies. The Board agreed no action would be taken now, and that more information is required. The Board requested Mr. McPherson draft a letter for the phone companies, requiring a deadline to locate lines and obtain permits.

**Public Hearing/ Ordinance 05-05, Speed Limit on CR 340 (from Dixie County Line East for ½ mile from 55 MPH to 45 MPH):**

Chairperson Gentry read aloud Ordinance 05-05 short title.

**Motion** by Commissioner Sharon Langford, seconded by Commissioner Durden, to approve Ordinance 05-05. Motion carried unanimously.

**Discussion; Use of Impact Fees:**

Commissioner Durden requested that the Board review impact fees (Commercial and Industrial) being charged within the County, and made reference to a drive-in restaurant currently being charged \$33,000. He stated that the population of Gilchrist County is growing at a tremendous rate, and he does not feel the current rate is keeping up with the growth. Commissioner Durden stated that he prepared figures, and that he had met with Mr. Watson and Sheriff Turner.

Mr. Gilliam read aloud the Impact Fee Ordinance for the County.

Commissioner Durden discussed to his proposal regarding Impact Fees for Transportation and Capital, and for the various Departments with supporting documentation of their anticipated needs over a ten (10) year period. He stated that he did not include Fire Special Assessment or EMS within his information, and stated his reasoning for the omission. He stated that he did review the information with Mr. McPherson prior to his recommendation. Mr. Gilliam questioned if the capital within the recommendation would replace the capital The County currently has, and Commissioner Durden answered yes.

Jon Gray, 129 South, Bell, stated that in his profession, construction and development, they have been involved in impact fees for a long time, and stated that he had always been interested in impact fees. He stated that his personal feeling was that he recognizes impact fees as being needed, but that they are not totally paying for growth. He discussed impact fees in Osceola County in 1990, and stated that impact fees can very easily become addictive, and an easy way to increase revenue. Mr. Gray stated that if there is a need to increase impact fees, the County should understand the need to pay for growth, and not place all the costs on impact fees. He requested a copy of Commissioner Durden's recommendation, and received a copy from the Board. Mr. Gray questioned if only the citizens paying the impact fees are entitled to use the services that the impact fees was collected for. He discussed Ad Valorem taxes, the County benefits of impact fees, and considering impact fees being indexed to a percentage basis.

Sheriff David Turner, applauded the efforts being brought forth, and stated that every year the County discusses future plans regarding impact fees, and stated that the County is always restrained by the monies available. He stated that impact fees are, for the most part, used for capital improvements, and that the demand for services on the public entities is being maxed out. Sheriff Turner stated that the increased demand for law enforcement to provide these services, and by setting the impact fees, you are not doing away with daily operations.

Sheriff Turner discussed the recommendation by Commissioner Durden, and stated that the County is taking a great step in a direction to provide for all the citizens of the County. Discussion was made regarding the funding for County projects, growth effects within Law Enforcement and EMS, and other, services for the County.

Commissioner Tommy Langford stated that he had been reviewing the Impact Fee issue, and had discussed this issue with other Counties. He also discussed remaining within reasonable costs, and the effects on future residents within the County. Commissioner Tommy Langford discussed the services being provided to the new citizens relocating within the County, the need for another EMS station, funding, and new sources of revenue. He stated that the Property Appraiser's Office has made adjustments as to the appraisals regarding the sale value of property.

Chairperson Gentry commented that the County does not have many commercial and industrial applications, and by making adjustments in that area would not drastically affect the County because the percentage is low within the County. He stated that the impact fees can make some projects unmarketable for the County.

Commissioner Sharon Langford discussed the high expense of the drive-in restaurant.

Commissioner Thomas discussed the new residents locating within the County, and the impact from the new residents on the County.

Mr. Gilliam advised the Board of the limits of the use of impact fees, and stated that impact fees cannot be used for day to day operations. He stated that the funds have to be used for capital improvements, and Commissioner Durden stated that the capital projects he listed, were taken from the County Ordinance, and that they have a three year life span. Mr. Gray questioned the County reviewing building permits, and Mr. McQueen stated permits are being reviewed to determine if permits pay enough revenue to cover their costs. Mr. Gray also stated that at the time of addressing impact fees, it would also be a good time to review the costs associated with the imposition.

Mr. McQueen advised that the County is approaching 50%/50% on site built homes as apposed to mobile homes, and referred to the difference in inspections. Mr. Gray referred to Commissioner Durden's information, and stated that by the year 2010, Gilchrist County is projected to have 16,500 residents, and referred to the 2000 Census with a difference calculation. He also questioned the representatives from Progress Energy in regards to City Franchises. Mr. Gilliam provided 2004 information regarding County population.

Mr. McQueen made reference to an article in the Gainesville Sun in Alachua County, discussing MSTU's, and that Alachua County is reviewing some of the same issues, and that how they fund a portion of law enforcement.

### **Commissioner's Reports:**

Commissioner Sharon Langford: did not have a report.

Commissioner Tommy Langford: did not have a report.

Commissioner Thomas: advised that he and Billy Cannon rode in the Oak Leaf Subdivision, and discussed the placing of turn lanes at the time of road construction. Discussion was made regarding the requirements for maintenance, culvert placement, and traffic during the construction phase.

Chairperson Gentry: commented on the ditch grading at the Dairy by Mr. Cannon and Mr. Watson, and the extremely good service the Road Department provided.

Commissioner Durden: made reference to information received from Chris Doolin, and discussed small county funding. He reminded the Board of Legislative Day to be held on March 30, and discussed the \$500,000 additional revenue. He recommended that everyone contact their Representatives regarding this issue. Mr. Gilliam also advised that Senate omitted the \$300,000 Small County Courthouse request for the County, and that he had placed calls regarding this issue.

Mr. McQueen: announced the dates for Amnesty Days within the County from March 21 through April 22. He also announced the Toxic Roundup to be held on April 9.

**Old Business:**

**New Business:**

Commissioner Dudren questioned Mr. McPherson regarding equipment purchases over \$10,000 being bid if that equipment is on state contract. Mr. McPherson stated that it shouldn't, but Mr. Gilliam discussed state bids.

Chairperson Gentry advised the Board of the request by Karen Wolfe for a Proclamation, and requesting permission to place pinwheels on County property to raise awareness of Child Abuse

**Motion** by Commissioner Durden, seconded by Commissioner Tommy Langford, to allow the placement of pinwheels on the Courthouse property in recognition of Child Abuse. Motion carried unanimously.

**Public Participation:** None.

**Adjourn:** There being no further business for the Board, **Motion** by Commissioner Tommy Langford, seconded by Commissioner Durden, to adjourn at 8:17 p.m. Motion carried unanimously.

APPROVED:

ATTEST:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Mitchell Gentry, Chairman

\_\_\_\_\_  
Joseph W. Gilliam, Clerk